Accelerator Beam Data Commissioning Equipment and Procedures

> David Followill, Ph.D. Associate Director Radiological Physics Center

ina 12 03





New Resource

AAPM Task Group 106 Report Accelerator Beam Data Commissioning Equipment and Procedures (early 2007)



Need for Commissioning

- 1. Acceptance testing finished (\$\$\$\$).
- Treat patients?
 Rad. Onc. Administrator yes
 Radiation Oncologist yes
 Rad. Onc. Therapist yes
 Physicist NO NO NO NO!!!!!!!!!!
- 3. Must have minimum dataset necessary for treatment planning, beam-on time calculations and quality assurance.
- 4. Dependent on treatment planning system.



Need for Commissioning

RT outcome ↔ accuracy of patient dose

accuracy of patient ↔ quality of beam dose data

Commissioning beam data should be treated as the "Gold Standard"



Need for Commissioning

- 1. Manufacturers = std. Machine
 - Not yet!!!!
 - Many components depend on moving parts (EDW, MLC, etc)
- 2. Need to treat each machine individually
- 3. Compilations of Std. Data from the manufacturer or RPC for QA purposes only





Commissioning Guidelines and Equipment Recommendations

- **1.** Manufacturers provide guidance for Acceptance testing, but not commissioning
- 2. Beam data requirements specified by TPS
 - AAPM reports
 - TG 106: Accelerator Beam Data Commissioning
 - TG 45: Code of Practice
 - TG 53: 3D Planning systems
- 3. Selection of appropriate tools for beam data acquisition

Beam Commissioning

TG-106: Accelerator beam data commissioning equipment and procedures.

Indra J. Das, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA Chee-Wai Cheng, Morristown Memorial Hospital, Morristown, NJ Anders Ahnesjö, Uppsala Univ. and Nucletron Scandinavia, Uppsala, Sweden John Gibbons, Mary Bird Perkins Cancer Center, Baton Rouge, LA X. Allen Li, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI Jessica Lowenstein, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX Raj K. Mitra, Ochsner Clinic, New Orleans, LA William E. Simon, Sun Nuclear Corporation, Melbourne, FL (Consultant) Ronald J. Watts, International Medical Physics Services, San Antonio, TX Timothy C. Zhu, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA



Commissioning Effort

- Model based vs. correction based algorithms
 - model based require less data
 - correction based data set similar to what is needed for hand calc's.
- Planning system should have a "Measured Data Requirements" manual.
 - Pinnacle 106 pages
- Develop a "Commissioning Run Plan"



Compilation of the required data for 10 TPS systems

CAX %dd, open fields	Open field profiles, in air	Output factors (Sc,p)
CAX %dd, wedge fields	Open field profiles, 2 SSD's	Output factors measured at 10 cm depth
CAX %dd, 90 cm SSD, open and wedged	Off axis HVL	Collimator factors (Sc)
Diagonal profile for max collimator setting, in phantom	MLC penumbra profiles	Phantom scatter factors (Sp) (either published data or values derived from Sc,p and Sc values)
Diagonal profile for max collimator setting, in air	MLC/Collimator jaw transmission	Collimator transmission
Diagonal profile for max square field	MLC setting and radiation field offset	Wedge transmission factors
Star profiles for max square field	Wedge profiles, nominal SSD	Tray transmission factors
Open field profiles, nominal SSD	Physical wedge dimensions	Absolute dose reference condition and value
Open field profiles, 90 cm SSD	Block edge profiles	Absolute dose for 100cm SSD

Data Type	CMS	NOMOS	Prowess	Nucletron	Multidata	Pinnacle
CAX %dd, open fields	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
CAX %dd, wedge fields	Х	NA		Х	X**	Х
CAX %dd, 90 cm SSD, open and wedged						
Diagonal profile for max collimator setting, in phant	Х	X*	Х	Х	Х	
Diagonal profile for max collimator setting, in air		X*				
Diagonal profile for max square field	Х					
Star profiles for max square field						
Open field profiles, nominal SSD	Х	X	Х	Х		Х
Open field profiles, 90 cm SSD						
Open field profiles, in air					Х	
Open field profiles, 2 SSD's						
Off axis HVL			X**			
MLC penumbra profiles		X				
MLC/Collimator jaw transmission		X				Х
MLC setting and radiation field offset		X				
Wedge profiles, nominal SSD	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
Physical wedge dimensions						Х
Block edge profiles	Х		Х	X**		Х
Output factors (Sc,p)	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
Output factors measured at 10 cm depth						Х
Collimator factors (Sc)	Х	X		Х	Х	
Phantom scatter factors (Sp)	Х		Х	Х	X**	
Collimator transmission						Х
Wedge transmission factors	Х	NA	Х	Х	Х	Х
Tray transmission factors	Х	NA	Х	Х	Х	Х
Absolute dose reference condition and value	Х	X		Х	Х	Х
Absolute dose for 100cm SSD			Х			
* = either one						
** = suggested, not required						

Commissioning (Minimum Data)

Minimum Data Requirements

- calibration (TRS 398 or TG-51)
- CAX depth dose (PDD/TPR)
- dose profiles
- isodose distributions (open/wedge)
- output factors (Sc, Sc,p)
- wedge and tray factors
- electron applicator/insert factors
- off-axis ratios (open/wedge)
- inverse square law (SSD/VSD)
- entrance dose and buildup region



Typical Commissioning Measurements (photons)

Description			Square field size (cm ²)														
		1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	12	14	16	20	25	30	40	>40
Application		IMRT Data										Magna field					
					Traditional Radiation Oncology Fields												
Scan	PDD/TMR	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x
data	Profiles @ 5-7 depths	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
	Diagonal or star profiles															x	x
non-	S _c	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x
data	S _{cp}	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
	WF/TF				x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	х		
	Surface dose	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
										(S.		R	P			AAA

Typical Commissioning Measurements (electrons)										
				Cone size (cr	n x cm)					
D	escription	5x5	10x10	15x15	20x20	25x25				
Scan	PDD	x	x	x	x	х				
a t a	Profiles @ 5-7 depths	х	x	х	x	х				
non-	Cone factor	х	x	х	X	Х				
c	Cutout factor	х	x	х	x	Х				
n d	Virtual source	x	x	x	x	х				
t a	Surface dose	x	x	X	x	X				
					NAM	ANAAAA				

Commissioning Effort

New accelerators

- 1-3 photon energies, 0-8 electron energies
- 4-6 weeks of effort (PRESSURE!!!)

Sample Calculation

- Time ≈ (PDD+ 5 profiles)/beam energy * 2 energies* (open+4 wedges) * 60points/scan *
 - [1sec/pts+1 sec (movement and delay)]* 15 fields
 - $= 9x10^5$ seconds
 - = 30 hrs beam time for each photon beam

Commissioning Effort

- 1.5 weeks per photon beam (allows for problems and setup)
- 1 week for point dose measurements
- 1 week for electrons
- 1 week for verification
- 1-2 weeks for report and data analysis

4-6 weeks total time (matched machines will be less time)



Commissioning Equipment Requirements

Phantom Material

- water phantom (scanned data)
 - i) scans in three directions (x,y,z)
 - ii) min. scan range 40 cm
 - iii) chamber positioning to within 1 mm
 - iv) min. setup time
 - v) remote control
 - vi) data transfer to computer
 - vii) lift table



Commissioning Equipment Requirements

Phantom Material

- water phantom (scanned data)
 - viii) water storage (prevent algae growth)
 - ix) maintain room temperature
 - x) chamber temperature equilibration
 - xi) after scanning, drain and dry
 - xii) oil metal slides





Commissioning Equipment Requirements

Phantom Material

- solid phantom (non-scanned data)
 - i) point dose measurements in water or solid phantoms)
 - ii) different stopping powers
 - iii) appropriate cavity for chamber
 - iv) thermal equilibration needed
 - v) phantom integrity verified



Commissioning Equipment Requirements

Phantom Material solid phantoms (point measurements)

Material, manufacturer	Color	Density								
manuracturer		(kg/m ³)	6 MV	10 MV	15 MV	18 MV				
Polystyrene, NA, RPD	Opaque	1050	1.035	1.037	1.049	1.059				
Acrylic/PMMA, RPD	Clear	1185	1.031	1.033	1.040	1.044				
Solid water, RMI	Maroon	1030	1.032	1.039	1.049	1.052				
Plastic water, CIRS	Lavender	1014	1.032	1.031	1.030	1.030				
Blue water, SI (photons only)	Blue	1090	new	new	new	new				
White water-RW-3, NA	White	1045	1.035	1.036	1.049	1.056				
NA, Nuclear associates, NY; RPD, Radiation product design, Albertsville, MN; RMI, Radiation Measurements Inc, Middleton, WI; CIRS, Computerized Imaging Reference Systems Inc, Norfolk, VA, SI, Standard Imaging, Middleton, WI										



Dosimetry measurements for acquiring beam data are best performed in water using the appropriate radiation detector. The essential features required of any measuring device are:

- 1. sufficient sensitivity;
- 2. stability;
- 3. negligible leakage;
- 4. energy independence;
- 5. sufficient spatial resolution;
- 6. linearity



Detectors can be categorized in terms of their size:

- 1. Standard (~10⁻¹ cm³) typical 0.6 cm³ farmer chamber
- Mini (~10⁻² cm³) active volume is on average 0.05 cm³
- 3. Micro (~10⁻³ cm³) active volume is on average 0.007 cm³ suited for high gradient dose regions



Commissioning Dosimeters

Ion chambers:

- 1. Response independent of
 - Dose, dose rate, energy
- 2. Relatively inexpensive
- 3. Reproducible reading
- 4. Traceable to National Standard
- 5. Many sizes





Diodes:

- 1. Quick response time
- 2. Excellent spatial resolution
- 3. High sensitivity
- 4. Absence of external bias
- 5. Energy independent for electron beams
- 6. Response can depend on dose rate, energy (photons), temperature and some have angular dependence



Detector Arrays for simultaneous data acquisition over the entire open beam:



- 1. Suitable for soft wedge profiles
- 2. Linear arrays typically
- 3. Can be diodes or ion chambers
- 4. Do not store in water

RPG

Commissioning Dosimeters Other detectors:

1. Diamond

- ideal for small field dosimetry and profiles
- Tissue equiv./ no directional dependency
- Some dose rate dependency
- 2. TLD for point dose and in vivo measurements
 - Energy and dose dependency
- 3. Film (silver halide or radiochromic) for relative measurements
 - Energy dependence

Selection of Detector

Depends on type of application

- 1. Field size (volume considerations)
- 2. Resolution (gradient)
- 3. Time needed to complete data collection (signal considerations)



Scanning System Setup

- Check existing cable run (enough room?)
- Do not perform the commissioning measurements with the door open (bad for your health!)
- Set the scanning computer near the accelerator console. (save time!)



Verification and Validation of Scanner

Scanning systems are extremely accurate and precise, but still need periodic QA

- 1. Free movement of each arm
- 2. Accuracy and linearity of movement
- 3. Physical condition of tank
- 4. Quality of connecting cables (leakage/reproducibility)
- 5. Be careful mixing components

Mellenberg et al, Med Phys 17, 311-314, 1990















Scanning Water Tank

- Positioning and Labeling
 - Never place tank on treatment couch
 - ~280 kgs (too much for couch)
 - Use platform provided by manufacturer



- Set tank up for desired x- and y- convention as to minimize material moving through water

- Make sure tank labeling agrees with what is expected by TPS

Scanner Movement

- Make sure chamber is level at all 4 corners
 - CAX vertical movement 1.Use string plumb-bob 2.Use light field with 1mm flash on each side of chamber

Above test should be done for acceptance testing of scanner











Scan Mechanism and Movement

1. Array detector weight too much for scan mechanism??? (read manual)

Speed and position accuracy – scan across
 40 cm with a 20 cm field at highest and lowest
 speed. (compare profiles)

3. Hysteresis – scan a field in one direction and then again in the opposite direction. (compare profiles)

4. Corrosion!!!



Pre-Measurement Tests

• Dry run

- air scan of a 20x20 field going from -20 cm to +20 cm (buildup cap)
- Repeat with turning beam off when detector at CAX
 - Flat region std dev noise of beam
 - Flat region COV give signal to noise ratio
- Water run (make sure devices are waterproof)
 - Repeat above scans (shallow and deep) and calculations

Results should be the same for water and air scans





Photon Beam data

- Scope of the measurements depend on the requirements of the dose calculation system (TPS, mu calc. , etc.)
 - Depth dose and profile scans
- Additional data may be needed to verify the TPS calculations
 - May be acquired with the scanning system or by point dose measurements



Photon Depth Dose data

- Do not use the acceptance testing data
- Typically 100 cm SSD
- Start from the bottom
- Clinical conditions
- Measurements
 Open field CAX depth dose (min.)
 - i) 100 SSD
 - ii) FS 5, 10, 20, 40 cm²
 - iii) depth 25 cm (0.25 cm increments)



Photon Surface Dose and Buildup Region

- Surface dose is machine dependent and depends on:
 - Field size, SSD, angle of beam incidence, beam modifiers
 - Careful selection of detectors





Photon Profiles

- Required by TPS and hand calc's
- Small to large Field Sizes (depend on TPS)
 - To model the penumbra
 - Open and wedged fields
 - 1mm spacing in penumbra and 2mm elsewhere
- Number of scans depend on TPS
 - 5-7 depth profiles per FS
 - 1cm spacing up to 6x6 and then 5cm for $\ge 10x10$

RPRU

Wedged fields

- Physical wedges
 - same requirements as open fields
- Soft wedges (moving jaw)
 - Requires different dosimeter
 - Film, diode arrays, ion chamber arrays
 - Arrays may attach to scanning system
 - Arrays are the preferred method (in-water)



Photon Point Dose Data

• Total Scatter factor (S_{cp})

$$S_{cp}(s) \equiv \frac{D(s, d_{ref})/M}{D(s_{ref}, d_{ref})/M}$$

RPC

- Depth = d_{max} or 10 cm
- SSD or SAD
- IMRT (1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10 cm²)



• In-air Output ratio (S_c)

$$S_c \equiv \frac{K_p(c; z_{ref})/M}{K_p(c_{ref}; z_{ref})/M}$$

- 100 cm SCD = z_{ref}
- Miniphantom in air
- Small fields extended distances may be needed

Photon Point Dose Data

• Phantom Scatter factor (S_p)

$$S_{p}(s) \equiv \frac{SF(s, d_{ref})}{SF(s_{ref}, d_{ref})}$$

or

$$S_p(s) \approx \frac{S_{cp}}{S_c}$$



Tray factors

- Measured at reference depth (10 cm or d_{max})
- Trays, jaws, MLC
- Large monitor unit setting may be needed

$$TF = \frac{Rdg_{tray}}{Rdg_{open}}$$



Electron Beam Measurements

RPC

- Scanning
 - 1. Depth dose
 - 2. Profiles
- Point dose
 - 1. Cone factors
 - 2. Cut-out factors
 - 3. Virtual source position



Electron Depth Dose

Diodes are ideal due to small size
No ripples or wakes







• Measured in water or solid phantom

$$CF = \frac{Rdg_{cone}(P_{repl})(L/p)}{Rdg_{refcone}(P_{repl})(L/p)}$$

RPR

• Prepare a table of cut-out factors



Commissioning Data Accuracy

Methods for Obtaining a Self-Consistent Dataset

• Design the measurements so that the data required to tie all the various separate measurements together are obtained during the same measurement session.

• Make measurements over the shortest time span possible consistent with obtaining representative dose measurements.

• Use the same equipment and procedures for all similar measurements.

• Use a reference chamber to account for output fluctuations when making measurements with a scanning ionization chamber.



Commissioning

Methods for Obtaining a Self-Consistent Dataset

• Periodically repeat base measurements, such as the dose at 10 cm depth for a 10x10 cm2 field, to monitor the consistency of the machine output and the measuring system. Note that this may involve use of temperature equilibrated water and/or monitoring the barometric pressure, in certain situations.



Recommendations

- 1. Define the scope of the data collection
- 2. Roughly calculate the time needed
- 3. Use proper detector
 - High sensitivity
 - Small dimensions
 - Low noise
 - No dose rate or energy dependence



- 4. Ion chambers with small volumes are preferred for relative dosimetry
- 5. Diodes are preferred for electron beam relative dosimetry
- 6. Verify labeling and positioning accuracy before measurements
- 7. Set optimal speed, time delay and acquisition time on scanner

Recommendations

- 8. Scan from deeper depth to surface for PDD
- 9. Adjust step size for optimum data collection
- 10. Maintain proper bias and polarity
- 11. Minimize amount of cable in beam
- 12. Orient detector to provide best resolution
- 13. Scrutinize data carefully
- 14. Write final report

Precautions

- 1. Do not rely on Manufacturers beam data
- 2. Do not rely on acceptance testing data
- 3. Do not scan in the axial direction of the detector
- 4. Do not over process (smooth) the data
- 5. Pay attention to the data collected
- 6. Check water level daily

Through data acquisition and TPS commissioning is laborious and necessary work. In the end, we don't want any surprises ...



